

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,389	08/06/2003	Wendell M. Smith	01251-P0011B	1191
24126 7550 652525010 ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET			EXAMINER	
			SINGH, SATWANT K	
STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/635,389 SMITH, WENDELL M. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SATWANT K. SINGH -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2010. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28.30 and 32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28,30 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 29 March 2010 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 15, 21, 26-28, 30, and 32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 1, 12, 15-27, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefik et al. (US 7,031,471) in view of King et al. (US 2003/2208494) and Ishida et al. (US 7,395,431).
- Regarding Claim 1, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents comprising: a digital file (digital work) accessible by a receiver via a terminal (external interface for receiving and transmitting data)

Art Unit: 2625

(col. 5 lines 46-49); a printer connected to the terminal (rendering device) (col. 6, lines 4-13); security data (watermark) specific to each page of said digital file (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) (col. 2, lines 1-7); a printed file printed by said printer corresponding to said digital file (Fig. 4, S408) (the printer repository then transmits the decrypted digital work with the watermark to a printer device for printing) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line 20); and a mark printed by said printer on each page of the printed file (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) (col. 2, lines 1-7).

Stefik et al fails to teach a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file; wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file.

King et al teaches a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik with the teaching of King to embed the document with unique barcodes on each page of the document for authentication purposed and by using the embedded barcode to validate the verity of the digital file.

Art Unit: 2625

Stefik et al and King et al fail to teach wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark.

Ishida et al teaches wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark (Recently, it became possible to incorporate information indicating a copy-prohibited object within an original, serving as a printed matter, using a technique called "digital watermark. That is, when printing an original, serving as a copy-prohibited object, a printed matter is obtained from image data (electronic image information) including copyprohibited-object information generated by embedding second digital information (sub-information) indicating a copy-prohibited object in image data (electronic image information), serving as original first digital information (main information). This copy-prohibited-object information (sub-information) can be extracted from the image data including the copy-prohibited-object information before printing the original. Furthermore, if consistency between conditions when forming the printed matter from the image data (electronic image information) including the copy-prohibited-object information and conditions in reading and extraction processing is obtained, it is also possible to extract the copy-prohibited-object information from electronic image data obtained by reading the printed matter formed from electronic image information including the copy-prohibited-object information by an original-reading device, such as a color scanner or the like. In consideration of these features, application of the technique called "digital watermark" to prevent illegal copying of an original, serving as a printed matter. has been attracting notice) (col. 1, line 59-col. 2, line 17) and (Fig. 4, S6-S80) (In

Art Unit: 2625

step S70, it is determined whether or not the image is a copy-prohibited image based on the result of the check in step S60. If the result of the determination in step S70 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S80. If the result of the determination in step S70 is negative, the process proceeds to step S90. (31) In step S80, abnormal image data is output. That is, as described above, an abnormal image (other than the read image data) represented by (1) image data entirely painted with a certain color, (2) image data obtained by superposing a certain symbol or figure on the image, (3) image data in which the color or the image size is changed, or a combination of these image data is output) (col. 6, lines 35-57).

.Ishida fails to teach wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file.

King teaches wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik and King with the teaching of Ishida to embed the document with a unique digital watermark on each page of the document that includes copy-prohibited-object information to prevent illegal copying of the document.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,389 Page 6

Art Unit: 2625

6. Regarding Claim 2, Stefik, et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein said printer is connected to said terminal via a network connection (digital works are distributed from trusted systems to trusted rendering devices via computer networks) (col. 4, lines 58-60).

- 7. Regarding Claim 3, Stefik, et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents further comprising an identification device for identifying the sender (Fig. 5) (tags "Description" 501, "Work-ID"502, and "Owner" 503 provide identification information for the digital work) (col. 8, lines 60-65).
- 8. Regarding Claim 4, Stefik, et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein a second identification device (print right) is provided at the printer wherein the printer will not print. (It is being interpreted by the examiner that if the printer repository does not have a print right, it will not decrypt the digital work) said digital document unless identification data gathered by the second identification device matches stored identification data (Fig. 4, S406) of users that are allowed access to said digital document (Fig. 4, S407) (if the digital work has the print right, the printer repository decrypts the digital work and generates the watermark that will be printed on the digital work) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line 20).
- Regarding Claim 6, Stefik, et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein the security system encrypts said digital file prior to said digital file being sent to the printer (digital works and various

Art Unit: 2625

communications are encrypted whenever they are transferred between repositories) (col. 6, lines 1-3).

- 10. Regarding Claim 7, Stefik, et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein said mark is selected from the group consisting of: a Watermark or an Optical Variable Device (the rendered work is watermarked to record data about the digital work and the rendering event) (col. 5, lines 1-7).
- 11. Regarding Claim 9, Stefik, et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein the characteristics of said mark are selected from the group consisting of covert data (invisible watermark), overt data (visible watermark) or combinations thereof (multiple watermarking techniques) (col. 8, lines 32-36).
- 12. Regarding Claim 12, Stefik, et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents further comprising verification data gathered by the security system for verifying whether the sender (repository 1) has clearance access said digital file (Fig. 4, S401) (digital work is assigned usage rights) (col. 7, lines 60-65).
- 13. Regarding Claim 15, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents comprising: a digital file (digital work) accessible by a sender via a terminal (external interface for receiving and transmitting data) (col. 5 lines 46-49), said digital file comprising at least two pages to be printed (pages of a digital work) (col. 12, lines 15-16); a printer connected to the terminal via a network (rendering device) (col. 6, lines 4-13); a printed file printed by said

Art Unit: 2625

printer corresponding to said digital file (Fig. 4, S408) (the printer repository then transmits the decrypted digital work with the watermark to a printer device for printing) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line 20); security data (watermark) specific to each page of the printed file (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) (col. 2, lines 1-7); and at least two marks (visible and invisible watermarks) (col. 8, lines 30-45) printed by said printer on the at least two pages of the printed file (pages of a digital work) (col. 12, lines 15-16).

Stefik et al fails to teach a mark containing data unique to each of the at least two pages of the printed file; wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file.

King et al teaches a mark containing data unique to each of the at least two pages of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059])...

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik with the teaching of King to embed the document with unique barcodes on each page of the document for authentication purposed and by using the embedded barcode to validate the verity of the digital file.

Art Unit: 2625

Stefik et al and King et al fail to teach wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark.

Ishida et al teaches wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark (Recently, it became possible to incorporate information indicating a copy-prohibited object within an original, serving as a printed matter, using a technique called "digital watermark. That is, when printing an original, serving as a copy-prohibited object, a printed matter is obtained from image data (electronic image information) including copyprohibited-object information generated by embedding second digital information (sub-information) indicating a copy-prohibited object in image data (electronic image information), serving as original first digital information (main information). This copy-prohibited-object information (sub-information) can be extracted from the image data including the copy-prohibited-object information before printing the original. Furthermore, if consistency between conditions when forming the printed matter from the image data (electronic image information) including the copy-prohibited-object information and conditions in reading and extraction processing is obtained, it is also possible to extract the copy-prohibited-object information from electronic image data obtained by reading the printed matter formed from electronic image information including the copy-prohibited-object information by an original-reading device, such as a color scanner or the like. In consideration of these features, application of the technique called "digital watermark" to prevent illegal copying of an original, serving as a printed matter. has been attracting notice) (col. 1, line 59-col. 2, line 17) and (Fig. 4, S6-S80) (In

Art Unit: 2625

step S70, it is determined whether or not the image is a copy-prohibited image based on the result of the check in step S60. If the result of the determination in step S70 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S80. If the result of the determination in step S70 is negative, the process proceeds to step S90. (31) In step S80, abnormal image data is output. That is, as described above, an abnormal image (other than the read image data) represented by (1) image data entirely painted with a certain color, (2) image data obtained by superposing a certain symbol or figure on the image, (3) image data in which the color or the image size is changed, or a combination of these image data is output) (col. 6, lines 35-57).

.Ishida fails to teach wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file.

King teaches wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik and King with the teaching of Ishida to embed the document with a unique digital watermark on each page of the document that includes copy-prohibited-object information to prevent illegal copying of the document.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/635,389

Art Unit: 2625

14. Regarding Claim 16, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents further comprising verification data gathered by the security system for verifying whether the sender (repository 1) has clearance access said digital file (Fig. 4, S401) (digital work is assigned usage rights) (col. 7, lines 60-65).

- 15. Regarding Claim 17, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein said verification data includes identification of the sender (Fig. 5) (tags "Description" 501, "Work-ID"502, and "Owner" 503 provide identification information for the digital work) (col. 8, lines 60-65).
- 16. Regarding Claim 18, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein the security system encrypts said digital file prior to said digital file being sent to said printer (digital works and various communications are encrypted whenever they are transferred between repositories) (col. 6. lines 1-3).
- 17. Regarding Claim 19, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein said mark is a watermark (the rendered work is watermarked to record data about the digital work and the rendering event) (col. 5, lines 1-7).
- 18. Regarding Claim 20, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein the characteristics of said mark are selected from the group consisting of covert data (invisible watermark), overt data (visible watermark) or combinations thereof (multiple watermarking techniques) (col. 8, lines 32-36).

Art Unit: 2625

19. Regarding Claim 21, Stefik et al teaches a method for printing secured documents comprising the steps of: collecting verification data from a sender relating to a digital file (assigned usage rights) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line20); verifying access to the digital file based upon the collected verification data (Fig. 4, S401) (digital work deposited into repository 1) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line20); accessing the digital file (Fig. 4, S402 and S403) (repository 1 transfers a copy of the digital work to the repository 2) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line20); inputting a print command (Fig. 4, S404) (repository 2 receives a user request to print the digital work) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line 20); generating security data related to the verification data (watermark information to be placed on the digital work associated with the rendering or distribution event) (col. 8, lines 30-44), the security data being specific to each page of the digital file to be printed (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) (col. 2, lines 1-7); encrypting the digital file (digital works and various communications are encrypted whenever they are transferred between repositories) (col. 6, lines 1-3); sending the encrypted digital file to a printer (Fig. 4, S406) (printer repository receives the encrypted digital work) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line 20); and printing the digital file with a mark on each page of the document (Fig. 4, S408) (printer generates the watermark that will be printed on the digital work) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line 20).

Stefik et al fails to teach a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed document; wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file.

Art Unit: 2625

King et al teaches a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed document (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059])...

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik with the teaching of King to embed the document with unique barcodes on each page of the document for authentication purposed and by using the embedded barcode to validate the verity of the digital file.

Stefik et al and King et al fail to teach wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark.

Ishida et al teaches wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark (Recently, it became possible to incorporate information indicating a copy-prohibited object within an original, serving as a printed matter, using a technique called "digital watermark. That is, when printing an original, serving as a copy-prohibited object, a printed matter is obtained from image data (electronic image information) including copy-prohibited-object information generated by embedding second digital information (sub-information) indicating a copy-prohibited object in image data (electronic image information), serving as original first digital information (main information). This copy-prohibited-object information (sub-information) can be extracted from

Art Unit: 2625

the image data including the copy-prohibited-object information before printing the original. Furthermore, if consistency between conditions when forming the printed matter from the image data (electronic image information) including the copy-prohibited-object information and conditions in reading and extraction processing is obtained, it is also possible to extract the copy-prohibited-object information from electronic image data obtained by reading the printed matter formed from electronic image information including the copy-prohibited-object information by an original-reading device, such as a color scanner or the like. In consideration of these features, application of the technique called "digital watermark" to prevent illegal copying of an original, serving as a printed matter, has been attracting notice) (col. 1, line 59-col. 2, line 17) and (Fig. 4, S6-S80) (In step S70, it is determined whether or not the image is a copy-prohibited image based on the result of the check in step \$60. If the result of the determination in step S70 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S80. If the result of the determination in step S70 is negative, the process proceeds to step S90. (31) In step S80, abnormal image data is output. That is, as described above, an abnormal image (other than the read image data) represented by (1) image data entirely painted with a certain color, (2) image data obtained by superposing a certain symbol or figure on the image. (3) image data in which the color or the image size is changed, or a combination of these image data is output) (col. 6, lines 35-57).

.Ishida fails to teach wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed document.

Art Unit: 2625

King teaches wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed document (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik and King with the teaching of Ishida to embed the document with a unique digital watermark on each page of the document that includes copy-prohibited-object information to prevent illegal copying of the document.

- 20. Regarding Claim 22, Stefik et al teaches a method for printing secured documents further comprising the steps of selectively granting the sender (repository 1) access to the digital file based upon the collected verification data (Fig. 4, S401) (digital work is assigned usage rights) (col. 7, lines 60-65).
- Regarding Claim 23, Stefik et al teaches a method for printing secured documents wherein the verification data includes identification of the sender (Fig. 5) (tags "Description" 501, "Work-ID"502, and "Owner" 503 provide identification information for the digital work) (col. 8, lines 60-65).
- 22. Regarding Claim 24, Stefik et al teaches a method for printing secured documents wherein the mark comprises a watermark (the rendered work is watermarked to record data about the digital work and the rendering event) (col. 5, lines 1-7).

Art Unit: 2625

23. Regarding Claim 25, Stefik et al teaches a method for printing secured documents wherein the characteristics of the mark are selected from the group consisting of covert data (invisible watermark), overt data (visible watermark) or combinations thereof (multiple watermarking techniques) (col. 8. lines 32-36).

24. Regarding Claim 26, Stefik et al teaches a method for printing secured documents comprising the steps of: accessing the digital file (Fig. 4, S402 and S403) (repository 1 transfers a copy of the digital work to the repository 2) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line20); generating security data (watermark) related to the digital file (watermark information to be placed on the digital work associated with the rendering or distribution event) (col. 8, lines 30-44), the security data being specific to each page of the digital file to be printed (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) (col. 2, lines 1-7); sending the digital file to a printer (Fig. 4, S406) (printer repository receives the encrypted digital work) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line20); printing the digital file and a mark on each page of the digital file (Fig. 4, S408) (printer generates the watermark that will be printed on the digital work) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line20).

Stefik et al fails to teach a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file; wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file.

King et al teaches a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the

Art Unit: 2625

document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik with the teaching of King to embed the document with unique barcodes on each page of the document for authentication purposed and by using the embedded barcode to validate the verity of the digital file.

Stefik et al and King et al fail to teach wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark.

Ishida et al teaches wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark (Recently, it became possible to incorporate information indicating a copy-prohibited object within an original, serving as a printed matter, using a technique called "digital watermark. That is, when printing an original, serving as a copy-prohibited object, a printed matter is obtained from image data (electronic image information) including copy-prohibited-object information generated by embedding second digital information (sub-information) indicating a copy-prohibited object in image data (electronic image information), serving as original first digital information (main information). This copy-prohibited-object information (sub-information) can be extracted from the image data including the copy-prohibited-object information before printing the original. Furthermore, if consistency between conditions when forming the printed matter from the image data (electronic image information) including the

Art Unit: 2625

copy-prohibited-object information and conditions in reading and extraction processing is obtained, it is also possible to extract the copy-prohibited-object information from electronic image data obtained by reading the printed matter formed from electronic image information including the copy-prohibited-object information by an original-reading device, such as a color scanner or the like. In consideration of these features, application of the technique called "digital watermark" to prevent illegal copying of an original, serving as a printed matter, has been attracting notice) (col. 1, line 59-col. 2, line 17) and (Fig. 4, S6-S80) (In step S70, it is determined whether or not the image is a copy-prohibited image based on the result of the check in step S60. If the result of the determination in step S70 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S80. If the result of the determination in step S70 is negative, the process proceeds to step S90, (31) In step S80, abnormal image data is output. That is, as described above, an abnormal image (other than the read image data) represented by (1) image data entirely painted with a certain color. (2) image data obtained by superposing a certain symbol or figure on the image, (3) image data in which the color or the image size is changed, or a combination of these image data is output) (col. 6. lines 35-57).

.Ishida fails to teach wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file.

King teaches wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the

Art Unit: 2625

document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik and King with the teaching of Ishida to embed the document with a unique digital watermark on each page of the document that includes copy-prohibited-object information to prevent illegal copying of the document.

25. Regarding Claim 27, Stefik et a teaches a document security system for printing secured documents comprising: a digital file (digital work) accessible by a receiver via a computer terminal (external interface for receiving and transmitting data) (col. 5 lines 46-49); security data (watermark) specific to said digital file and to each page of said digital file (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) col. 2, lines 1-7); a printer connected to the computer terminal (rendering device) (col. 6, lines 4-13); and a mark printed by said printer (printer repository transmits the decrypted the decrypted digital file with the watermark to a printer device for printing) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line 20) on each page of the printed file (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) (col. 2, lines 1-7).

Stefik et al fails to teach a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file; wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file.

Art Unit: 2625

King et al teaches a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059])...

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik with the teaching of King to embed the document with unique barcodes on each page of the document for authentication purposed and by using the embedded barcode to validate the verity of the digital file.

Stefik et al and King et al fail to teach wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark.

Ishida et al teaches wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark (Recently, it became possible to incorporate information indicating a copy-prohibited object within an original, serving as a printed matter, using a technique called "digital watermark. That is, when printing an original, serving as a copy-prohibited object, a printed matter is obtained from image data (electronic image information) including copy-prohibited-object information generated by embedding second digital information (sub-information) indicating a copy-prohibited object in image data (electronic image information), serving as original first digital information (main information). This copy-prohibited-object information (sub-information) can be extracted from

Art Unit: 2625

the image data including the copy-prohibited-object information before printing the original. Furthermore, if consistency between conditions when forming the printed matter from the image data (electronic image information) including the copy-prohibited-object information and conditions in reading and extraction processing is obtained, it is also possible to extract the copy-prohibited-object information from electronic image data obtained by reading the printed matter formed from electronic image information including the copy-prohibited-object information by an original-reading device, such as a color scanner or the like. In consideration of these features, application of the technique called "digital watermark" to prevent illegal copying of an original, serving as a printed matter, has been attracting notice) (col. 1, line 59-col. 2, line 17) and (Fig. 4, S6-S80) (In step S70, it is determined whether or not the image is a copy-prohibited image based on the result of the check in step \$60. If the result of the determination in step S70 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S80. If the result of the determination in step S70 is negative, the process proceeds to step S90. (31) In step S80, abnormal image data is output. That is, as described above, an abnormal image (other than the read image data) represented by (1) image data entirely painted with a certain color, (2) image data obtained by superposing a certain symbol or figure on the image. (3) image data in which the color or the image size is changed, or a combination of these image data is output) (col. 6, lines 35-57).

.Ishida fails to teach wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file.

Art Unit: 2625

King teaches wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik and King with the teaching of Ishida to embed the document with a unique digital watermark on each page of the document that includes copy-prohibited-object information to prevent illegal copying of the document.

26. Regarding Claim 32, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents comprising: a digital file (digital work) accessible by a sender via a terminal (external interface for receiving and transmitting data) (col. 5 lines 46-49); a printer connected to the terminal via a network connection (rendering device) (col. 6, lines 4-13); security data (watermark) specific to each page of said digital file (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) col. 2, lines 1-7); and a mark printed by said printer (printer repository transmits the decrypted the decrypted digital file with the watermark to a printer device for printing) (col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line 20) on each page of the printed file (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) (col. 2, lines 1-7).

Stefik et al fails to teach a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file; wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file

Art Unit: 2625

is prevented by means of the mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file.

King et al teaches a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059])..

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik with the teaching of King to embed the document with unique barcodes on each page of the document for authentication purposed and by using the embedded barcode to validate the verity of the digital file.

Stefik et al and King et al fail to teach wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark.

Ishida et al teaches wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark (Recently, it became possible to incorporate information indicating a copy-prohibited object within an original, serving as a printed matter, using a technique called "digital watermark. That is, when printing an original, serving as a copy-prohibited object, a printed matter is obtained from image data (electronic image information) including copy-prohibited-object information generated by embedding second digital information (sub-information) indicating a copy-prohibited object in image data (electronic

Art Unit: 2625

image information), serving as original first digital information (main information). This copy-prohibited-object information (sub-information) can be extracted from the image data including the copy-prohibited-object information before printing the original. Furthermore, if consistency between conditions when forming the printed matter from the image data (electronic image information) including the copy-prohibited-object information and conditions in reading and extraction processing is obtained, it is also possible to extract the copy-prohibited-object information from electronic image data obtained by reading the printed matter formed from electronic image information including the copy-prohibited-object information by an original-reading device, such as a color scanner or the like. In consideration of these features, application of the technique called "digital watermark" to prevent illegal copying of an original, serving as a printed matter. has been attracting notice) (col. 1, line 59-col. 2, line 17) and (Fig. 4, S6-S80) (In step S70, it is determined whether or not the image is a copy-prohibited image based on the result of the check in step S60. If the result of the determination in step S70 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S80. If the result of the determination in step S70 is negative, the process proceeds to step S90. (31) In step S80, abnormal image data is output. That is, as described above, an abnormal image (other than the read image data) represented by (1) image data entirely painted with a certain color, (2) image data obtained by superposing a certain symbol or figure on the image, (3) image data in which the color or the image size is changed, or a combination of these image data is output) (col. 6. lines 35-57).

Art Unit: 2625

.Ishida fails to teach wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file.

King teaches wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik and King with the teaching of Ishida to embed the document with a unique digital watermark on each page of the document that includes copy-prohibited-object information to prevent illegal copying of the document.

- 27. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefik et al, King et al and Ishida et al as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Carr et al. (US 6,389,151).
- 28. Regarding Claim 5, Stefik et al, King et al, and Ishida et al fail to teach a document security system for printing secured documents wherein said identification device comprises a fingerprint keypad.

Carr et al wherein said identification device comprises a fingerprint keypad (Fig. 3, fingerprint reader 303) (col. 4, lines 63-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik, King, and

Art Unit: 2625

Ishida with the teaching of Carr to allow a user to use their fingerprint as identification to print secure documents.

- Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefik et al, King et al and Ishida et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Zorab et al. (US 2003/0177095).
- 30. Regarding Claim 8, Stefik et al, King et al, and Ishida et al fail to teach a document security system for printing secured documents wherein said mark comprises DNA information coded in ink utilized to print said mark.

Zorab et al teaches wherein said mark comprises DNA information coded in ink utilized to print said mark (DNA taq) (page 2, paragraph [0019]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik, King and Ishida with the teaching of Zorab to encode one's DNA tag in the watermark information for identification purposes and for verification of the user's authenticity.

31. Regarding Claim 11, Stefik et al, King et al and Ishida et al fail to teach a document security system for printing secured documents wherein said printer uses ink to print said digital file, said ink selected from the group consisting of: DNA ink or fluorescent ink.

Zorab et al teaches a wherein said printer uses ink to print said digital file, said ink selected from the group consisting of: DNA ink or fluorescent ink (fluorescent ink or DNA tag) (page 2, paragraph [0019]).

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik, King, and Ishida with the teaching of Zorab to encode one's DNA tag in the watermark information for identification purposes and for verification of the user's authenticity.

- Claims 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefik et al in view of King et al, Zorab et al, and Ishida et al.
- 33. Regarding Claim 28, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents comprising: a digital file (digital work) accessible by a receiver via a computer (external interface for receiving and transmitting data) (col. 5 lines 46-49); a printer connected to the computer (rendering device) (col. 6, lines 4-13); security data (watermark) specific to said digital file (watermark information to be placed on the digital work associated with the rendering or distribution event) (col. 8, lines 30-44); a mark printed by said printer with said ink on the printed file, said mark containing data specific the printed file (watermark information to be placed on the digital work associated with the rendering or distribution event) (col. 8, lines 30-44); wherein said security data further comprises data unique to each page of said file (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) (col. 2, lines 1-7).

Stefik et al fails to teach ink usable by said printer, said ink having coded DNA information that contains said security data specific to said digital file and mark containing data unique to each page of the printed digital file; wherein

Art Unit: 2625

unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file.

King et al teaches a mark containing data unique to each page of the printed digital file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059])...

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik with the teaching of King to embed the document with unique barcodes on each page of the document for authentication purposed and by using the embedded barcode to validate the verity of the digital file.

Stefik et al and King et al fail to teach ink usable by said printer, said ink having coded DNA information that contains said security data specific to said digital file.

Zorab et al teaches ink usable by said printer, said ink having coded DNA information that contains said security data specific to said digital file (DNA tag) (page 2, paragraph [0019]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik and King with the teaching of Zorab to encode one's DNA tag in the watermark information for identification purposes and for verification of the user's authenticity.

Art Unit: 2625

Stefik et al, King et al, and Zorab et al fail to teach wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed digital file is prevented by means of the mark.

Ishida et al teaches wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark (Recently, it became possible to incorporate information indicating a copy-prohibited object within an original. serving as a printed matter, using a technique called "digital watermark. That is, when printing an original, serving as a copy-prohibited object, a printed matter is obtained from image data (electronic image information) including copyprohibited-object information generated by embedding second digital information (sub-information) indicating a copy-prohibited object in image data (electronic image information), serving as original first digital information (main information). This copy-prohibited-object information (sub-information) can be extracted from the image data including the copy-prohibited-object information before printing the original. Furthermore, if consistency between conditions when forming the printed matter from the image data (electronic image information) including the copy-prohibited-object information and conditions in reading and extraction processing is obtained, it is also possible to extract the copy-prohibited-object information from electronic image data obtained by reading the printed matter formed from electronic image information including the copy-prohibited-object information by an original-reading device, such as a color scanner or the like. In consideration of these features, application of the technique called "digital watermark" to prevent illegal copying of an original, serving as a printed matter,

Art Unit: 2625

has been attracting notice) (col. 1, line 59-col. 2, line 17) and (Fig. 4, S6-S80) (In step S70, it is determined whether or not the image is a copy-prohibited image based on the result of the check in step S60. If the result of the determination in step S70 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S80. If the result of the determination in step S70 is negative, the process proceeds to step S90. (31) In step S80, abnormal image data is output. That is, as described above, an abnormal image (other than the read image data) represented by (1) image data entirely painted with a certain color, (2) image data obtained by superposing a certain symbol or figure on the image, (3) image data in which the color or the image size is changed, or a combination of these image data is output) (col. 6, lines 35-57).

.Ishida fails to teach wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file.

King teaches wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik, King, and Zorab with the teaching of Ishida to embed the document with a unique digital

Art Unit: 2625

watermark on each page of the document that includes copy-prohibited-object information to prevent illegal copying of the document.

34. Regarding Claim 30, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents comprising: a digital file (digital work) accessible by a receiver via a computer (external interface for receiving and transmitting data) (col. 5 lines 46-49); a printer connected to the computer (rendering device) (col. 6, lines 4-13); security data specific to said digital file (watermark information to be placed on the digital work associated with the rendering or distribution event) (col. 8, lines 30-44); and a watermark printed by said printer on each page of the printed digital file , said watermark containing data specific the printed digital file (watermark information to be placed on the digital work associated with the rendering or distribution event) (col. 8, lines 30-44); wherein said security data further comprises data unique to each page of said digital file (graphical symbol or printed notice that appears on each page) (col. 2, lines 1-7).

Stefik fails wherein the watermark is an Optical Variable Device and said Optical Variable Device further contains data unique to each page of said digital file; wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark containing data unique to each page of the printed file.

King et al teaches a device further containing data unique to each page of said digital file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is

Art Unit: 2625

generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059])..

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik with the teaching of King to embed the document with unique barcodes on each page of the document for authentication purposed and by using the embedded barcode to validate the verity of the digital file.

Stefik et al and King et al fail to teach wherein the watermark is an Optical Variable Device.

Zorab et al teaches wherein the watermark is an Optical Variable Device (optical device such as a hologram or digitally printed device) (page 2, paragraph [0019]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik and King with the teaching of Zorab to encode one's DNA tag in the watermark information for identification purposes and for verification of the user's authenticity.

Stefik et al, King et al, and Zorab et al fail to teach wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed digital file is prevented by means of the mark.

Ishida et al teaches wherein unauthorized copying and/or alteration of the printed file is prevented by means of the mark (Recently, it became possible to incorporate information indicating a copy-prohibited object within an original, serving as a printed matter, using a technique called "digital watermark. That is,

Art Unit: 2625

when printing an original, serving as a copy-prohibited object, a printed matter is obtained from image data (electronic image information) including copyprohibited-object information generated by embedding second digital information (sub-information) indicating a copy-prohibited object in image data (electronic image information), serving as original first digital information (main information). This copy-prohibited-object information (sub-information) can be extracted from the image data including the copy-prohibited-object information before printing the original. Furthermore, if consistency between conditions when forming the printed matter from the image data (electronic image information) including the copy-prohibited-object information and conditions in reading and extraction processing is obtained, it is also possible to extract the copy-prohibited-object information from electronic image data obtained by reading the printed matter formed from electronic image information including the copy-prohibited-object information by an original-reading device, such as a color scanner or the like. In consideration of these features, application of the technique called "digital watermark" to prevent illegal copying of an original, serving as a printed matter. has been attracting notice) (col. 1, line 59-col. 2, line 17) and (Fig. 4, S6-S80) (In step S70, it is determined whether or not the image is a copy-prohibited image based on the result of the check in step S60. If the result of the determination in step S70 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S80. If the result of the determination in step S70 is negative, the process proceeds to step S90. (31) In step S80, abnormal image data is output. That is, as described above, an abnormal image (other than the read image data) represented by (1) image data

Art Unit: 2625

entirely painted with a certain color, (2) image data obtained by superposing a certain symbol or figure on the image, (3) image data in which the color or the image size is changed, or a combination of these image data is output) (col. 6, lines 35-57).

.lshida fails to teach wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file.

King teaches wherein the mark contains data unique to each page of the printed file (Fig. 3, block 8, system attaches a unique bar-code on each page of the PDF image) (page 3, paragraph [0059] (unique barcode, comprising the document and revision numbers, document digest and paging details is generated and attached to each page of the document image) (page 3, paragraph [0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik, King and Zorab with the teaching of Ishida to embed the document with a unique digital watermark on each page of the document that includes copy-prohibited-object information to prevent illegal copying of the document.

- 35. Claims 10, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefik et al, King et al, and Ishida et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Martin et al (US 5,710,420).
- 36. Regarding Claim 10, Stefik et al, King et al, and Ishida et al fail to teach a document security system for printing secured documents wherein said mark is printed on a medium, said medium selected from the group consisting of: plain

Art Unit: 2625

paper, paper having a distinct pattern located thereon, or thermal transfer holographic foil.

Martin et al teaches wherein said mark is printed on a medium, said medium selected from the group consisting of: plain paper, paper having a distinct pattern located thereon, or thermal transfer holographic foil (photochromic marking material can be applied to any desired substrate, for example plain papers, ruled papers, bond papers, etc) (col. 8, lines 59-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Stefik, King, and Ishida with the teaching of Martin to allow a watermark to be embedded on many various types of media.

- 37. Regarding Claim 13, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein said verification data includes identification of the sender (Fig. 5) (tags "Description" 501, "Work-ID"502, and "Owner" 503 provide identification information for the digital work) (col. 8, lines 60-65).
- 38. Regarding Claim 14, Stefik et al teaches a document security system for printing secured documents wherein the security system selectively grants the user access to said digital file based upon the collected verification data (print right) (col. 8, lines 9-20).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SATWANT K. SINGH whose telephone

Art Unit: 2625

number is (571)272-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Satwant K. Singh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

sks